

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/562,930	12/30/2005	Hideaki Yaguchi	12,6509	3677	
	7590 09/13/2007 RIDGE PLC		EXAMINER		
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC P.O. BOX 19928			LAXTON, GARY L		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2838		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/13/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/562,930	YAGUCHI, HIDEAKI			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Gary L. Laxton	2838			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 Au 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under Ex 	action is non-final. ce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the conference of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 12. **The oath of the conference of the objected to by the Examiner of the oath oath of the oath of the oath of the oath of the oath oath oath oath oath oath oath oath	pted or b) objected to by the Elrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/16/2007. D.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite			

Art Unit: 2838

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 3. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kushida et al. (US 2005/0097701 A1) in view of Hwang (US 6,657,417).

Kushida et al. disclose a voltage conversion device, comprising: a voltage converter (33) converting a power supply voltage from a power supply (7) into an output voltage such that the output voltage attains a target voltage, through a switching operation between a first switching element (41) as an upper arm and a second switching element (42) as a lower arm; and a control device (e.g. 28) providing switching control to the first switching element using a duty ratio in a range lower (i.e. below 100% duty cycle) than an upper limit value of the duty ratio (i.e. 100%

duty cycle never reached) and wherein when an overvoltage is applied to the power supply, the upper limit value of the duty ratio is determined (e.g. see paragraphs 76, 99 and 111).

However, Kushida et al. do not disclose the control device providing switching control to two switching elements. Kushida et al. do disclose a boost converter with a power switch (41) and a switching diode (42).

Hwang teaches a boost converter having a controller that controls two switching elements verses having one controllable switch and a switching diode used in conventional boost converters in order to reduce the loss associated with the forward bias of conventional switching diodes.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Kushida et al. to include second switch and a controller device providing switching control to first and second switching elements in order to reduce the loss associated with the conventional switching diode normally used in boost converters.

4. Claims 3-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kushida et al. and Hwang in view of Komatsu et al.

Kushida et al. and Hwang disclose the claimed subject matter in regards to claim 1 supra, except for providing the output voltage to an inverter or plural inverters and plural loads.

Komatsu et al. teach applying a boosted output voltage to plural inverters driving plural loads.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Kushida et al. and Hwang to include an inverter or plural

Art Unit: 2838

inverters receiving the output voltage and driving plural loads in order to drive more than one load from a single source as taught by Komatsu et al.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gary L. Laxton whose telephone number is (571) 272-2079. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Karl Easthom can be reached on (571) 272-1989. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Gary L Laxton/

Gary L. Laxton Primary Examiner Art Unit 2838